Good Practices Checklist for Using the NeuroPlatform

This checklist of good practices is designed to help NeuroPlatform users conduct their experiments effectively and ensure the collection of reliable, high-quality data for analysis.

🧪 Checkpoint	Q Why It Matters	V Best Practices	Data to Collect	
Proper login and logout procedures	To prevent session conflicts	Always follow the documentation guidelines to start and stop an experiment	- (Make just sure to use the try / finally conditions as in the documentation for safety)	
Correct use of stim_params and triggers	Hard to confirm post-experiment	Review parameters before starting (You can use the param loader for that)	Triggers, electrodes, if the enable condition is True (+ all parameters like amplitude, duration)	
Have you verified your stimulation parameters?	Hard to confirm post-experiment	Use the param loader, keep track of your parameters	Electrodes you stimulated, with which parameters (amplitude, duration,)	
Are you sure that your stimulation parameters are optimal?	Good stimulations are necessary to get good signals	Apply a Grid Search of parameters (try different amplitudes, durations, electrodes) and evaluate the responses to choose the best ones before to start	Responsiveness for different parameters combinations	

1. Use of the Neuroplatform (Technical Integrity)

2. Understanding the Model and Biological Context

🧪 Checkpoint	Q Why It Matters	🔽 Best Practices	📊 Data to Collect
Do you know the age of the organoid on the MEA?	Activity varies significantly with age	Check organoid age (you can do so by getting all events data for a specific organoid and checking the date of the first recording) and compare the results you got at different moments	Age of the organoid on the MEA at each experiment
Have you considered the initial bursting phase on MEA?	Activity is often unstable during the first hours	Wait at least a few hours before initial recording, check visually the organoid activity to assess burst	Bursts presence (easy to see on the live on the FinalSpark website)
Is the natural decline in activity accounted for?	Activity may decrease independently of experiments	Compare with inactive controls or track activity over time	Comparison of results at different times
Do you have the organoid token (FSxxx) ?	Results may vary a lot between two batches due to biological variability, so better to know which organoid was concerned by which experiment	Keep the token of each organoid you study	Token of the organoid (FSxxx) Using this token, we can then find out which organoid/ medium/ set-up was used and improve data explainability in some cases (why does this one work better than another?))

3. Data Validity

🧪 Checkpoint	Why It Matters	V Best Practices	Data to Collect
Have you reviewed raw data and spike shapes?	Artifacts and real spikes look different	Visualize raw data to discriminate spikes Make an overlay of spikes ! (The signals from one neuron should always have almost the same shape! If the overlay is bad, it may be noise)	Overlay of spikes shape - Make sure to have a defined pattern, and not completely random signals. Make sure to have 'biologically consistent' data (a spike is usually within 100 uV, 1 ms) - see example below
Do you compare spontaneous and evoked activity?	Determines the effectiveness of stimulation, avoid to count spontaneous activity	Compare data before and after a stimulation	Metric that takes in account the spontaneous activity of the organoid when computing the elicited activity (for example, subtract the number of spikes happening within the 200 ms before the stimulation to the 200ms post-stim responses)
Have you checked for stimulation artifacts?	Early peaks may be electrical artifacts	Be cautious of latencies under ~10 ms after a stimulation	Clean data without stimulation artifact (remove every spikes within 10ms post-stimulation or assess their raw shape)
Are identical spikes appearing on all electrodes?	May indicate a system artifact	Analyze spatial and temporal propagation	All the electrodes activity and not only the ones you are working with. Study correlations.
Have you assessed electrode quality beforehand?	Some electrodes may be noisy or inactive	Exclude channels lacking clear signals prior to analysis	State of each electrodes
Is the experiment replicated on the same organoid?	Tests for temporal variability (activity tends to decrease with time)	Repeat experiments with controlled intervals	Comparison of several trials of each experiment
What is the interval between sessions?	Activity may be affected by fatigue or plasticity	Allow breaks between intense stimulations	Responsiveness of the organoid post-stimulation - add breaks accordingly (usually at least a few seconds after each electrical stimulation patterns IF your goal is to come back to a 'resting state')
Is the experiment replicated on different organoids?	Necessary for generalizing effects, take in account biological variability	Include multiple organoids	Comparison of results though different organoids
Have you implemented negative controls?	Confirms the observed effects	Include at least one control or baseline in each protocol	Baseline of activity of the organoid before to start the experiment

Examples of overlays :

Here is a good spike signal that is consistent and seems to be produced by same neuron



Here is a good signal too but at least 2 neurons are involved to produce 2 spikes in less than 2 ms

